

#### Implementing Regulation 1107/2009 Stage of Progress – An Industry Perspective CEUREG Forum, Brno, 7 October 2010



Implementing Regulation 1107/2009 – Stage of Progress



# Content

Stage of Progress: Active substance approval criteria Regulations and guidance documents Comparative assessment & substitution





# Stage of Progress Active Substance Approval



Implementing 1107 – Stage of progress Active substance approval criteria



#### **Endocrine Disruption:**

- State of the art' review ongoing (Pr. Kortenkamp). Report expected June 2011 with 'policy options'
- ECETOC proposal for science-based definition available
   Based on symptoms, mode of action, relevance to humans
  - and potency
- Germany and UK also proposed/proposing definitions for human health and non-target species - Same principles as ECETOC (human health)
- OECD guidance document on the assessment of ED under development

Implementing 1107 – Stage of progress Active substance criteria (cont'd)



POP / PBT / vPvB: Criteria not specific enough for consistent implementation. ECPA developed position paper. Main recommendations:

- Criteria need to be met in same environmental compartment
- Persistence: use geometric mean of normalized field half-lives
- Bioaccumulation: consider depuration phase
- Aquatic toxicity: use geometric mean of NOEC values
- Long range transport (air): consider DT50 only if residence in air possible



#### Implementing 1107 – Stage of progress Active substance criteria (cont'd)



#### Article 4.7

- Define 'serious danger to plant health' case-by-case, not upfront or prescriptively
- Consider only 'suitable' alternatives. Assess 'suitability' using Annex IV criteria
- Evaluate chemical and non-chemical methods using same criteria



#### **Negligible exposure**

ECPA position under development...



# Stage of Progress Regulations and Guidance





- Active Substance Renewal AIR2 regulation:
- Initial dossier should not be required systematically (clear wording needed)
- Clear wording needed relative to technical guidance applicable during evaluation (cannot be latest)
- No valid reasons why substances assumed to meet cut-off criteria should be submitted earlier than others





#### Active Substance Renewal – AIR2 Guidance document

- ECPA overall supports content
- Classification dossier only required when change expected
- MRL info for 'representative uses' and existing uses but not future uses (separate procedure)
- Welcomes adoption of 'efficacy template'
- Recommends adoption of 'summary report' template



#### **Active Substance Renewal – AIR3**

- The following information is urgently needed by industry:
  - Which substances will be submitted in 2013?
  - Which RMS?
  - When in 2013?
- ECPA recommendation for AIR3:
  - Cover all substances expiring between 2013-2018
  - Quarterly submission waves September 2013 June 2016
  - Populate waves by order of expiry (no grouping by families)





New active substance regulation:
ECPA welcomes binding timelines for pending NAS
National Provisional Authorizations must

remain possible for such substances





#### **Regulations amending technical data requirements:**

- **ECPA welcomes adoption under 1107**
- Recommends unique 3-year implementation timeframe (should not apply to 2013 submissions)







#### Detailed comments provided to Commission

Literature search must balance workload and benefits



## Stage of Progress Comparative Assessment & Substitution



### Implementing 1107 – Stage of progress **Comparative Assessment & Substitution**

#### Realistic application timelines:

**Possible for a (limited?) number of new** active substances between by end 2013

0 0

е

Extensively after publication of **Commission's list of candidates for** substitution (Dec 2013 deadline)

EPPO working on comparative assessment tiered approach

Limited activity within Commission (MS?) and Industry Associations

# Implementing 1107 - Stage of Progress **Conclusions**



 Keep food produced in
 Europe. Protect
 food diversity.
 Protect farmer's
 tool box



#### Regulation 1107 can contribute! It requires:

Implementation based on pragmatism and robust science

Quality dialogue between stakeholders

Collective commitment



# **Thank you for your attention!**

